

7 pages
 1/16/79

N66-83778

ON THE USE OF THIELE'S SEMI-INVARIANTS IN FERROMAGNETISM*

by

A. V. M. Ferris-Prabhu
 NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center
 Greenbelt, Maryland

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
 +Mx56429
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

7p

In the spinwave model of ferromagnetism, the physical restriction that not more than 2S units of reversed spin can come together on the same atom leads to what Dyson [1] has called the kinematical interaction. This letter indicates a method of calculating the effect of these interactions on the temperature dependence of the magnetization by using the semi-invariants of Thiele [2].

The nearest neighbor exchange interaction model is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + g\mu H \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^z \quad (1)$$

\mathbf{S}_i is the spin operator at the i-th atom, g the Lande' g-factor, H the magnetic field in the z direction, $\mu = eh/mc$, and

$\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ is taken over all nearest neighbor pairs, and N is the number of atoms.

Following Holstein and Primakoff [3] and Oguchi [4], the spin operators are replaced by the spin deviation creation and annihilation operators a^\dagger and a . At low temperatures, where the number of spin deviations is expected to be very small, the Hamiltonian may be linearized to

$$\mathcal{H} = - [JzNS^2 - g\mu HNS] - 2JS \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [a_i^\dagger a_j + a_i a_j^\dagger] - 2JS \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [a_i^\dagger a_i + a_j^\dagger a_j] - g\mu H \sum_i a_i^\dagger a_i \quad (2)$$

*Work performed as a Knights of Columbus predoctoral fellow at The Catholic University of America, Washington D.C., and supported in part by U. S. Air Force Contract No. AF49(638)-452.

XERO
 COPY

XERO
 COPY

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
 Only

where z is the number of nearest neighbors.

By introducing the spinwave operators $b_{\underline{k}} = (1/N^{\frac{1}{2}}) \sum_j \exp(i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}_j) a_j$ and $b_{\underline{k}}^\dagger = (1/N^{\frac{1}{2}}) \sum_j \exp(-i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}_j) a_j^\dagger$, the Hamiltonian is Fourier transformed to

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}} \quad (3)$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_0 = -[JzNs^2 - g\mu HNS]$, $A_{\underline{k}} = [2JSz(1-\gamma_{\underline{k}}) - g\mu H]$, $n_{\underline{k}} = b_{\underline{k}}^\dagger b_{\underline{k}}$, $\gamma_{\underline{k}} = (1/z) \sum_{\underline{\rho}} \exp(i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}_{\underline{\rho}})$ and $\underline{\rho}$ is the vector between nearest neighbor atoms.

In equation (3), \mathcal{H}_0 is the Hamiltonian of the free spinwaves, and $\sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}}$ represents the interactions. The partition function of the system is then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \text{Trace} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}), \quad \beta = 1/kT \\ &= \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_0) \text{trace} \exp(-\beta \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}}) \\ &= Z_0 Z_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We will now evaluate $Z_1 = \text{trace} \exp(-\beta \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}})$ for two cases. In the ideal case the assumption is made that the only effect of the kinematical interaction is to restrict the maximum permissible eigenvalue of the spinwave operator $n_{\underline{k}}$ to $2S$, and that the interactions of the spinwaves with each other may be neglected. This is mathematically equivalent to neglecting the cross terms in $(\sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}})^r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \sum_{n_{\underline{k}}=0}^{2S} \exp(-\beta \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}}) \\ &= \prod_{\underline{k}} \left[\{1 - \exp[-(2S+1)\beta A_{\underline{k}}]\} / \{1 - \exp(-\beta A_{\underline{k}})\} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

$$\text{and } \ln Z_1 = \sum_{\underline{k}} \ln \left\{ \frac{1 - \exp[-(2S+1)\beta A_{\underline{k}}]}{1 - \exp(-\beta A_{\underline{k}})} \right\} \quad (6)$$

Alternately

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Z_1 &= \ln \text{trace} \exp \left(-\beta \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}} \right) \\ &= \ln \text{trace} (1) + \ln \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} M(n) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the r-th moment of the Hamiltonian, $M(r) = \text{trace} (\sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} n_{\underline{k}})^r / \text{trace} (1)$, has been set equal to $\text{trace} (\sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^r n_{\underline{k}}^r) / \text{trace} (1)$, and $\text{trace}(1) = (2S+1)^N$.

When the second term in equation (7) is expanded,

$$\ln Z_1 = \ln (2S+1)^N + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^m}{m!} \lambda(m) \quad (8)$$

Here $\lambda(m)$, the m-th semi-invariant of Thiele, is the sum of the coefficients of β^m in the expansion. The first three semi-invariants are [5],

$$\lambda(1) = M(1) \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda(2) = M(2) - M^2(1) \quad (10)$$

$$\lambda(3) = M(3) - 3M(2)M(1) + 2M^3(1) \quad (11)$$

However, from equation (6) it is not difficult to show that

$$\lambda(m) = \frac{(-)^m}{m} B_m \left[(2S+1)^m - 1 \right] \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^m \quad (12)$$

where B_m are the well known Bernoulli numbers. Thus

$$\lambda(1) = S \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda(2) = \frac{1}{3} S(S+1) \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\lambda(3) = 0 \cdot \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^3 \quad (15)$$

Equation (8) may then be replaced by

$$\ln Z_1 = \ln (2S+1)^N - S\beta \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} + \frac{1}{3} S(S+1) \beta^2 \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^2 - 0 + \dots \quad (16)$$

In the exact case, where the interaction of the spinwaves with each other is specifically accounted for, it becomes necessary to evaluate the cross terms arising in $\text{trace}(\sum A_{\underline{k}} \eta_{\underline{k}})$. Methods of doing this will be presented elsewhere. It is found that the first three semi-invariants are

$$\lambda(1) = S \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}} \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda(2) = S^2 \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^2 - \frac{1}{3} S(2S+1) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\underline{k}_1} \sum_{\underline{k}_2} A_{\underline{k}_1}^2 A_{\underline{k}_2} \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda(3) = 2S^3 \sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^3 - S^2(4S+1) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\underline{k}_1} \sum_{\underline{k}_2} A_{\underline{k}_1}^2 A_{\underline{k}_2} + S^2(2S+1) \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\underline{k}_1} \sum_{\underline{k}_2} \sum_{\underline{k}_3} A_{\underline{k}_1} A_{\underline{k}_2} A_{\underline{k}_3} \quad (19)$$

The effect of the interacting spinwaves is to introduce higher order sums in k-space and to alter the coefficients of the first order summation. The details of this calculation show a resemblance to the classical rencontre problem in the theory of games [5], and from this a conjecture is made that the coefficient of $(\sum_{\underline{k}} A_{\underline{k}}^m)$ is $(m-1)! S^m$. Thus

$$\lambda(m) = (m-1)! \sum_{\underline{k}} (S A_{\underline{k}})^m \quad (20)$$

$$\text{and } \ln Z_1 = \ln (2S+1)^N + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\underline{k}} (-S\beta A_{\underline{k}})^m \quad (21)$$

$$= \ln (2S+1)^N + \sum_{\underline{k}} \ln (1 + S\beta A_{\underline{k}}) \quad (22)$$

The magnetization is calculated in the standard manner.

For the ideal (non-interacting) case

$$(23)$$

$$\frac{M(\beta)}{M(\infty)} = 1 - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(2S+1) \exp[-12n(2S+1)x] I_0^3[4n(2S+1)x] \right. \\ \left. - \exp(-12nx) I_0^3(4nx) \right] \dots \quad (23)$$

where $x = \beta JS$ and we have set $z=6$.

At very low temperatures an asymptotic expansion gives

$$\frac{M(\Theta)}{M(0)} = 1 - \frac{1}{S} \left[f_{3/2}(S) a_0 \Theta^{3/2} + f_{7/2}(S) a_1 \Theta^{7/2} \right. \\ \left. + f_{11/2}(S) a_2 \Theta^{11/2} + \dots \right] \quad (24)$$

where $\Theta = kT/67JS$ and $f_{n/2}(S) = 1 - (1+2S)^{-n/2}$

The coefficients a_0, a_1, a_2 are identical to Dyson's. This method does not give integer powers of the temperature probably because the interaction of the spinwaves with each other was neglected. But it is interesting to note that the modifying factor $f_{n/2}(S)$ becomes unity in the limit of $S \rightarrow \infty$

In the exact (interacting) case, the magnetization has been obtained in integral form

$$\frac{M(\Theta)}{M(0)} = 1 - \frac{1}{S} 2\pi\Theta \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \iiint_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2 d\varphi_3}{(3 + 2\pi\Theta/S) - (2\pi\varphi_1 + \cos\varphi_2 + \cos\varphi_3)} \quad (25)$$

The second term is a direct consequence of the conjecture made earlier which is rendered plausible by the similarity to the generalized Watson's integral which has an interesting history in the spinwave theory of ferromagnetism[6].

I am deeply grateful to Professor T. Tanaka for suggesting this method and for his generous assistance and encouragement.

References.

1. F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. 102, 1217 (1956).
2. T. L. Hill, Statistical Mechanics, McGraw Hill, p. 135 (1956).
3. T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940).
4. T. Oguchi, Phys. Rev. 117, 117 (1960).
5. H. Cramer, The Elements of Probability Theory and some of its Applications, Wiley, p. 53 (1955).
6. A. A. Maradudin, G. Weiss, E. Montroll, R. Herman and H. Milnes, Green's Functions for Monatomic Simple Cubic Lattices, Proceedings of the Royal Belgian Academy, Memoires XIV, Vol. 7 (1960).